Femoroacetabular Impingement: Fact, Fallacy, and Future.









Conflict of Interest Statement

I have no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this presentation.

Learning Objectives

- 1.Recognize the biomechanics of lumbo-pelvic-femoral dysfunction and its interrelationship to femoroacetabular impingement.
- 2.Assess structural influences and dysfunctional movement patterns related to femoroacetabular impingement.
- 3.Apply effective management strategies to restore appropriate lumbopelvic-femoral biomechanics across the right and left side.

FEMOROACETABULAR IMPINGEMENT (FAI)



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Figure_6._Diagram_of_the_bony_pathology_of_both_cam_and_pincer_impingement..png

Copyleft: This work of art is free; you can redistribute it and/or modify it according to terms of the <u>Free Art License</u>. You will find a specimen of this license on the <u>Copyleft Attitude site</u> as well as <u>on other sites</u>.

FEMOROACETABULAR IMPINGEMENT (FAI)

2 Distinct Types (Ganz et al., 2003):

-Abnormally shaped femoral head contacts normal acetabulum -"cam"

-Normal femoral head contacts abnormally shaped acetabulum-"pincer"

"Cam" more common in males

"Pincer" more common in females

FAI CLINICAL PRESENTATION

- Most often in Athletes
- Soccer, FB, Dancers, Hockey
- Anterior Groin Pain Exacerbated By Hip Flexion
 - Difficulty putting on shoes/socks
 - Prolonged sitting, getting in/out of car. Sharp Pain with 90 Flexion and Internal Rotation= Impingement Sign
 - Locking, catching, and giving way (Labral Tear?)
 - Reduced range of Internal rotation
 - Positive Hip Impingement test (Hip Scour Test)

FACT OR FALLACY

FACT

Information that can be verified or proven

Research, (Statistics) might also be considered to be factual

All facts are not created equal

FALLACY

Determine if the information is truly "cause and effect" or simply observed correlations

"Correlation does not equal causation"

Are the correlations legitimate "Do they make sense?"

PREVALENCE

There were 3 independent observers who retrospectively analyzed the 939 AP pelvic radiographs (1878 hips) of patients aged 18 to 50 years who did not have hip symptoms and who were not professional athletes. (Morales-Avalos, et al., 2021)

The mean age of the population was 31.0 ± 9.2 years, and 68.2% were male. The prevalence of the cam-type variant was 29.7% (558/1878), and that of the pincer-type variant was 24.3% (456/1878). (Morales-Avalos et al., 2021)

This syndrome affects a significant proportion of patients presenting with hip pain, with approximately 61 % of individuals reporting hip discomfort in the absence of osteoarthritis (OA) being diagnosed with FAI. (Mohammed et al., 2024)

FAI can occur at any stage of life, but is most common in people between 20 and 40 years of age, with a prevalence between 10% and 15%. (Pennock et al., 2018; Hale et al., 2012)

The prevalence of FAI radiological signs in the general population is estimated at between 23 and 67%. (Salas et al., 2020)

FAI was found among 1/3 of soccer players with no hip complaints. (Bezuglov et al. 2025)

LABRAL TEARS

96% of cadavers studied had a gross labral tear (Seldes et al., 2001)

53 of 55 hips

74% anteriorsuperior quadrant

89% of asymptomatic individuals 16 yrs of age or older had labral tears 56% under 16 years of age had labral tears. (Briggs et al 2017)

Participants who participates in their sport 9 years or greater were 5 times more likely to have a labral tear (Briggs et al. 2017)

86-94% of labral tears occur anteriorly (McCarthy et al., 2001, Mintz et al., 2005)

Hip labral tears are found in 22-25% of individuals with hip pain (Berthelot et al., 2023)

Hip arthroscopy in patients with FAI and labral pathology increased by 85% between 2011-2018 in United States (Zusmanovich et al., 2022)



68.4 % of hip arthroscopies for osteoarthritis were converted to THA within 2 years 3.7 times greater chance of having a hip replacement revision (Malahias et al., 2021)

Individuals with FAI and OA had a higher rate (37.3%) of conversion to THA than individuals with FAI alone 9.7% (Lei et al., 2019)

Individuals 40-59 years of age had 617 hip arthroscopic surgery and 58 later had converted to THA (Allahabadi et al., 2020)

81% of middle-aged women who had FAI developed OA by 10 years; 33% had end stage OA and had THA (Agricola et al., 2024)

The percentage increase in the number of THA among individuals aged 45-54 increased 205% from 2000-2010 (from 17,000 to 51,900) (Wolford et. al., 2015)

HIP ARTHROSCOPY (Adelstein et al., 2025)

- A study using the TriNetX/U.S. Collaborative Networks database found a 97% increase in hip arthroscopy cases in the U.S. between 2015 and 2023.
- In 2015, there were 1,236 hip arthroscopies in the database, compared to 2,427 in 2023.
- Annual database incidence of hip arthroscopy is projected to more than double by 2030, exceeding 4,800 cases.
- A notable percentage of patients (17%) developed osteoarthritis (OA) after hip arthroscopy, with 9.8% requiring conversion to total hip arthroplasty (THA) within 5 years.
- Conversion to THA was more common in patients older than 30 at the time of their hip arthroscopy.

Arthroscopy and FAI

- Continues to escalate with 25-fold increase from 2006-2013 with further rises anticipated (Cvetanovich, 2016; Khan et al., 2016)
- Patients >40 have a higher rate (2.63 times greater) of converting to a THA after hip arthroscopy revision surgery (Maldonado et al., 2023)
- 78% of hips had feature change 2 years past FAI surgery suggesting future OA (Heerey et al. 2024)

HIP ARTHROSCOPY & FAI

While a large percentage of patients with abnormal morphology remain asymptomatic, although a definitive treatment algorithm for FAI syndrome has yet to be delineated, the use of joint-preserving surgery (i.e. hip arthroscopy) to address the osseous impingement and the resultant periarticular structural damage has increased over the last 2 decades. (Dancy et al. 2025)

The management of FAI has advanced radically over the last few years and hip arthroscopy has gained a leading role (Migliorini et al., 2025)

1,799 patients, hip arthroscopy led to statistically better short-term function versus conservative treatment for FAI (Ramadanov et al.. 2025)

HIP ARTHROSCOPY & FAI (Zusmanovich et al. 2022)

- 35,966 arthroscopies were identified between 2011 and 2018 from a randomly selected sample of 30 million orthopedic patients from the PearlDiver Mariner dataset.
- The incidence increased by 85% from 2011 to 2018 (7.31 cases vs 13.54 cases per 100,000 patients). The distribution of the age of patients undergoing hip arthroscopy was bimodal with the mode of each peak at 18 years old and 42 years old, respectively. Females underwent surgery more frequently (67.9%) than males (32.1%)

HIP ARTHROSCOPY (Adelstein et al., 2025)

- The increasing understanding and treatment of hip pathologies like labral tears and femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) have likely contributed to the rise in hip arthroscopy utilization.
- Advances in surgical techniques and imaging technologies have also played a role.
- The prevalence of hip arthroscopy is highest in young adult female patients, while the incidence is greatest in younger adolescent female patients.
- Overall, the evidence suggests a strong upward trend in hip arthroscopy use in the United States, which is expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

FAI & OSTEOARTHRITIS

FAI has the potential to increase cartilage degeneration and ultimately lead to the development of osteoarthritis of the hip. Early intervention, either prophylactic or therapeutic, can prevent the progression of osteoarthritis and the need for artificial hip replacement (Cheney et al., 2021; Aiyer et al., 2020)

The absolute risk of FAI for development of hip OA was high (81%), with 33% developing and end stage hip OA within 10 years (Agricola et al., 2024)

1870 participants mean age of 32.2 had a post operative diagnosis of hip OA within 2 years (Rhon et al., 2019)

FAI is thought to be responsible for up to 50% of all hip osteoarthritis (Gatz et al., 2020)

TOTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTY

- Increasing evidence that FAI predisposes to the development of DJD of the hip (Ganz et al. 2003; Beck et al. 2005)
- The percentage of THA's being performed on patients younger than 60 is 40% and increasing steadily. (Liu et al., 2015; Daras et al., 2009)
- The 2024 report includes more than 3.1 million total hip and total knee arthroplasty procedures performed at 1,447 institutions in all 50 states and the District of Columbia between 2022 and 2023, according to a press release from the AAOS. (American Joint Replacement Registry 2024)

-1.9 million TKA -1.2 million THA

Future Trends

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>2030</u>	<u>2040</u>
Predicted THA	498,000	652,000	850,000	1,429,000
Percent Increase Based Off 2014 data	34%	75%	129%	284%
Nationwide Inpatient Sample				

Singh et al. 2019

Based off 2019 Medicare data		2040	2060
28.84% increase of THA every 5-year period after 2020	Projected	719 <i>,</i> 364	1,982,099
2019 Medicare data showed THA 35% of TJA performed			
Shichman et al. 2023			

RADIOLOGY & FAI

Many patients have radiographic signs and findings indicative of FAI even when they do not exhibit symptoms.

Radiographic evidence of FAI was found in 78% of the contralateral hips in patients with a painful hip. only 26% had bilateral symptoms. (Gomez Verdejo et al., 2024)

- 32 % of asymptomatic soccer players demonstrated FAI. 27.7% pincer, 11.7% cam, and 6.4% mixed. 67% showed signs of OA (Bezuglov et al., 2025)
- 25% of asymptomatic young adults in general population have radiological evidence of FAI. (Cicuttini et al., 2017)

RADIOLOGY & FAI

- Care must be taken not to overcall FAI on the basis of imaging findings.
- Morphologic abnormalities associated with cam and pincer femoroacetabular impingement are common.
- Advancements in hip arthroscopy have seemed to overshadow conservative medical management of FAI.
- Diagnostic imagery is a valuable tool for surgeons in diagnosing and treating FAI, yet there is still significant variability in the utilization and interpretation of such findings. (Peters, 2017)

RADIOLOGY & FAI

- 76.8% of asymptomatic hips had 2 or more MRI changes indicative of FAI. (Yepez et al., 2017)
- 5,192 hips indicated 25% of men and 10% women had radiologic evidence of FAI (Raveendran et al., 2018)
- Bilateral FAI may be observed in about 1/3 patient's most patient with unilateral, symptomatic FAI and radiographic diagnosis of bilateral FAI became symptomatic relatively quickly in most of them, Underwent, subsequent surgical intervention in the contralateral hip (Azoy et al. 2019)

2016 Warwick Agreement of FAI Griffin et al., 2016

• 22 Member panel (9 countries, 5 different specialties)

3 Distinct criteria were recommended for FAI diagnosis:

SYMPTOMS (Groin pain and mechanical symptoms) CLINICAL SIGNS (Special tests and Limited motions) DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING (MRI's, X-Rays)

(108 studies)

92% of studies reviewed revealed that one diagnostic modality was reported in diagnosis of FAI Only 56% of the studies utilized the combination of symptoms, clinical signs, and diagnostic imaging. (Peters et al., 2017)

PELVIC POSITION & FAI

- The position of the pelvis influences acetabular orientation (Islam et al., 2013)
- Dynamic changes in pelvic tilt significantly influence the functional orientation of the acetabulum and must be considered when diagnosing and treating FAI. (Ross et al., 2014)
- Dynamic anterior pelvic tilt results in early occurrence of FAI in the arc of motion, while posterior pelvic tilt results in later occurrence of FAI. (Ross et al., 2014)
- A history of spine surgery predicts a poor outcome after hip arthroscopy. (Feingold et al., 2019)
- Posterior pelvic tilt allowed for increased impingement-free ROM in subjects with FAI (Kuhns et al., 2025)

PELVIC POSITION

- (Bagwell et al. 2016)
 - For every 5° of anterior pelvic tilt there was a 1.2°-1.6° increase in internal rotation.
 - For every 5° of posterior tilt there was 1.2°-1.6° increase in external rotation.
 <u>Conclusion</u>

Altered pelvic control or positioning in the sagittal plane has the potential to influence transverse plane motion of the femur.

Special attention should be paid to the resting position the pelvis in the sagittal plane (Ludwig et al., 2023)

The role of the pelvis in the sagittal plane has been an area of increased interest in recent years. The investigation of spinal pelvic parameters, including pelvic tilt, and pelvic incidence influences how patients can distribute weight across their axial and appendicular skeletons. (Gowd, 2025)

PELVIC INCIDENCE

It is defined as the angle between a line perpendicular to the sacral endplate at its midpoint and a line connecting that point to the center of the femoral heads.

It is a key factor in determining lumbar lordosis and overall spinal alignment.

The greater pelvic incidence the greater the lumbar lordosis. Patient with low pelvic incidence are theorized to compensate with increased forward tilt of the pelvis this most likely results in over coverage and impingement of the hip joint. (Gowd et al., 2025, Gomez Verdejo et al., 2024)

Alterations in pelvic tilt influence clinical hip ROM, anterior pelvic tilts have shown to reduce available ROM at the hip until impingement occurs. (Suits, 2021)

Pelvic tilt increases the risk of impingement and alters impingement type. (Palit et al., 2025)

Acetabular labral tears are associated with high pelvic incidence with or without FAI morphology. (Kwon et al., 2022)

After hip arthroscopy patients with high pelvic incidence greater than 60°, exhibited lower passive range of motions. (Torabian et al., 2024)

ACETABULAR RETROVERSION WHAT IS IT?

- When the alignment of the acetabulum does not face the normal anterolateral direction but inclines more posterolaterally
- The retroverted orientation may give rise to problems of impingement between the femoral neck and anterior acetabulum (Reynolds et al., 1999)
- Essentially an over-coverage of the acetabulum over the femoral head
- Increased anterior pelvic tilt should be considered when diagnosing and treating individuals with hip pain, as symptoms may be related to the functional position of the pelvis (Brekke et al., 2021)

ACETABULAR RETROVERSION



© 2025 Postural Restoration Institute®

ACETABULAR RETROVERSION

- Acetabular retroversion has recently been suspected of being an important factor in the development of FAI and hip osteoarthritis (Cibulka, 2013)
- Changes in acetabular orientation can occur with alterations in pelvic tilt (anterior/posterior) and pelvic rotation (left/right) (Cibulka, 2013)
- Asymmetry between the left and right innominate bones change pelvic tilt and rotations (Cibulka, 2013)

ACETABULAR RETROVERSION PREVALANCE

The COS was present in 55% of hips when supine and 30% when standing. (Verhaegen et al., 2024)

Individuals in the general population had unilateral 24% or bilateral 18% retroversion. (Brekke et al., 2021)

ACETABULAR RETROVERSION PREVALANCE

• The high incidence of acetabular retroversion might not differ in frequency between asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals with FAI. (Wassilew et al., 2013)

LEFT VS.RIGHT BY THE NUMBERS

Table 1. Categorizatio	on of Femore	acetalbular Ir	npingement	(FAI) accordin	ig to Ages				
Variable	10s	20s	30s	40s	50s	60s	70s	Total	
Sex (male:female) Hip (right:left) Type of FAI	15:7 22 (15:7)	86:16 102 (62:40)	41:32 73 (45:28)	62:39 101 (63:38)	27:20 47 (33:14)	9:6 15 (9:6)	1:1 2 (2:0)	247:115 362 (229:133)	
Cam	15	57	37	57	21	4	0	191	
Pincer	4	33	19	24	15	3	0	98	
Mixed	3	12	17	20	11	8	2	73	
Values are presented Table 3. Categoriza	as number.	s according to	Ages						
Variable		10s		20s		30s		40s	
Hips with FAI Hips related to spo	orts	22 12 (54.5)		102 63 (61.8)		73 33 (45.2)		101 34 (33.7)	
Sports Soccer Martial arts Hiking Others		0 9 (75.0) 0 3 (25.0)		28 (44.4) 20 (31.7) 0 15 (23.8)		13 (39.9) 7 (21.2) 3 (9.1) 10 (30.3)		3 (8.8) 4 (11.8) 5 (14.7) 22 (64.7)	
Values are presento FAI: femoroacetalb	ed number (% ular impinge	%). ment.							
(20's)			(30's)			(40's)			
62% had Righ	t FAI		45%	45% had Right FAI			63% had Right F		
40% had Left	FAI		28 had Left FAI				38% had Left FA		

Lee et al., 2016 (Hip and Pelvis)

LEFT VS. RIGHT BY THE NUMBERS

(Noiger et al., 2010) 53% Right 47% Left 22% bilateral

(Levy et al., 2015)(Frontiers in Surgery) 391 hips 193 (49.4%) isolated right hip impingement 151 (38.6 %) isolated left hip impingement 47 (12%) bilateral impingement

FAI FACT OR FALLACY

- Not all individuals with FAI morphology will have symptoms or develop OA.
- FAI is a complex condition: It involves both anatomical changes and individual factors that influence symptom development and progression.
- While FAI is a risk factor for OA, it is not the sole cause.
- Preventive strategies and treatment should be individualized and consider a broader range of factors beyond just the bony morphology.
- Not All FAI Leads to OA.
- However, not everyone with these morphological changes will develop symptoms.
- It is challenging to predict who with FAI morphology will develop symptoms or OA, and how quickly.

FAI FACT OR FALLACY

- The presence of FAI or labral tears in patients with hip pain doesn't automatically mean these findings are the *cause* of the pain.
- Imaging findings alone may not be sufficient for diagnosis.
- Impingement (FAI), a condition where the bones of the hip joint don't fit together perfectly, can cause damage to the labrum (the cartilage ring around the hip socket), potentially leading to labral tears.
- While FAI is a frequent cause of labral tears, it's not the only one, and the presence of FAI morphology or a labral tear on imaging does not automatically translate to symptomatic FAI or the need for immediate surgical intervention.
- Hip arthroscopy is the *only* effective treatment for FAI.
- Not all Left AIC patterned individuals with lumbo-pelvic-femoral pathomechanics will develop FAI.

WHAT IS THE NEXT STEP?

- Care must be taken not to exaggerate FAI on the basis of imaging findings.
- The literature fails to substantiate that imbalances in the lumbopelvic-femoral complex are present in individuals with FAI.
- Furthermore, it fails to differentiate structural imbalances in regards to the left and right sides of the human body.

What Is the Next Step?

Do not convince 2/3 of the population that they have a pathologic condition, when clearly they do not. (Reiman & Thorberg, 2016)

Treatment planning must remain an individual process, referring back to the whole clinical picture, which includes physical assessment combined with diagnostic imaging.

- -Symptoms
- -Clinical Signs
- -Imaging
PRI PROPOSED MECHANISMS OF FAI

PRI PROPOSED MECHANISM OF FAI

The left pelvis is anteriorly tipped and forwardly rotated.

The forwardly rotated left innominate causes the lower spine to orient to the right with the upper spine to the left.

This directional, rotational influence on the low back, pelvis, and spine to the right, mandates compulsive compensatory movement in one or more areas to include the: femurs, the thoracic rib cage, humeral glenoids, and cervical-cranialmandibular complex.

The greatest impact is on rib alignment and position, therefore influencing breathing patterns.

Left AIC Myokinematic Relationships

- Left AIC patterned individuals are positioned in a state of Right AF IR and left AF ER
 - Left hemi-pelvis is positioned in a state of flexion, abduction and external rotation
 - Right hemi-pelvis is positioned in a state of extension, adduction, and internal rotation.





Anterior View of an Anterior & Forward Positioned Left Innominate with Accompanying Right Sacral Torsion



THE PROBLEM



THE ULTIMATE GOAL!!



Masek, J. Femoroacetabular impingement: mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment options using Postural Restoration (Part 3) Co-Kinetic Journal 2015; 68 (April) 18-25.



Figure 4: (a) Right hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with right compensatory femoral internal rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation. (b) Right hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with right femur orientated outwardly. Left hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with left femur orientated inwardly. (c) Right hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with right compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with left compensatory femoral internal rotation. (J. Masek, 2014)

ORIENTATION



COMPENSATION



FAVORABLE STANDING POSITION IS ON THE RIGHT LEG WHILE ROTATING THEIR UPPER BODY TO THE LEFT



EXCESSIVE OR LIMITED STRAIGHT LEG RAISE



ANTERIOR PELVIC TILT'S INFLUENCE ON HAMSTRINGS

NEUTRAL PELVIS

ANTERIOR PELVIC TILT



ANTERIOR PELVIC TILT'S INFLUENCE ON SLR

Think back to the anterior pelvic tilt which places our lower back in an arched position, or an increase in lumbar lordosis. When the clinician lifts the leg to assess the straight leg raise, the hamstring becomes taut at an earlier point then it should because the muscle is already pre-tightened due to the position of the pelvis (anterior pelvic tilt). In other words, the leg won't go as far because it's already being stretched before it even moves. This limited leg raise would be expected and typical for a pelvis that is tilted forward without an actual change to the hamstring muscle length.



ANTERIOR PELVIC TILT'S INFLUENCE ON SLR

If the hamstring muscle actually becomes overstretched, the leg raise will look excessive but there is often still a sense of hamstring "tightness" at a position that would not be considered normal. This muscle is now actually long and weak and its ability to "tighten" enough to control the anterior tilt of the pelvis is severely limited and the anterior tilt and its associated symptoms, including lower back pain, will be harder to manage. This is an atypical finding of an anterior pelvic tilt and much harder to manage.



ASYMMETRICAL FEMORAL-ACETABULAR ROTATION



ADDUCTION DROP TEST EXTENSION DROP TEST



Figure 5: Positive left-side adduction drop test. (J. Masek, 2014)



Figure 6: Positive left-side extension drop test. (J. Masek, 2014)

INCREASED LEFT FA ER



ABDUCTION RAISE TEST





Right: Positive

Left: Negative

Figure 10: Decreased right-side passive abduction. (J. Masek, 2014)



© 2025 Postural Restoration Institute®

ANTEROSUPERIOR ACETABULAR-<u>FEMORAL</u> <u>IMPINGEMENT (ASAF)</u>

Most often on the <u>left side</u> when patient attempts to shift or pull femur into the acetabulum with the ischiocondylar adductor



ASAF (Cam)

- Left anterosuperior acetabular femoral impingement
- Due to the flexed, abducted, and externally rotated left hemi-pelvis there is accompanying extension, adduction and internal rotation weakness
- Occurs secondary to passive internal orientation of femur secondary to acetabular position and/or as a result of compensatory activity of the external rotators to orientate the femur towards midline
- There is recurring contact between the anterior femoral head-neck region and the anterior aspect of the acetabular rim and/or labrum during extreme flexion and internal rotation

ASAF (CAM) CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

Anteriorly rotated, forwardly positioned innominate with accompanying lax iliofemoral, pubofemoral ligament, restricted ischiofemoral ligament.

Long and weak adductors, overactive FA external rotators and abductors

Weak AF extensors and overactive FA hip flexors as FA IR's (TFL)

ASAF (CAM) TEST RESULTS

+ ipsilateral Adduction Drop Test

+ or - ipsilateral Extension Drop Test

+ ipsilateral FABER

Increased ipsilateral seated FA ER

Increased or decreased ipsilateral FA flexion

ASAF (Cam)

- Left anterosuperior acetabular femoral impingement
- Due to the flexed, abducted, and externally rotated left hemi-pelvis there is accompanying extension, adduction and internal rotation weakness
- Occurs secondary to passive internal orientation of femur secondary to acetabular position and/or as a result of compensatory activity of the external rotators to orientate the femur towards midline
- There is recurring contact between the anterior femoral head-neck region and the anterior aspect of the acetabular rim and/or labrum during extreme flexion and internal rotation

ASAF MANAGEMENT



90–90 hip lift with hip shift

1. Lie on your back with your feet flat on a wall and your knees and hips bent at a 90° angle.

2. Place a 10-15cm ball between your knees.

3. Inhale through your nose and exhale through your mouth, performing a pelvic tilt so that your tailbone is raised slightly off the mat. Keep your back flat on the mat.



4. As you maintain a hip lift, shift your left hip down and your right hip up so that your right knee is slightly above the left.

 Slowly take your bent right leg on and off the wall so that your right thigh comes toward your chest. You should feel the muscles behind your left thigh and left inner thigh engage.
Perform 3 sets of 10 repetitions, 1-2 times a day.

Figure 4: Exercise for left AF IR with concomitant left FA IR. (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015. Image used with permission)

ASAF MANAGEMENT



Right side-lying supported left gluteus medius exercise

 Lie on your right side with your feet on a wall, hips and knees at a 90° angle and your back rounded.
Place your lower arm or a pillow under your head and upper hand on the floor in front of you to help stabilise your trunk. 3. Place a 10–15cm ball between your knees.

 Push your right foot into the wall.
Silde or shift your left hip back as far as you can without arching your back.
Press your left knee down into the ball. You should feel your left inner thigh engage. Rotate your left thigh 'in' by lifting your left lower leg towards the ceiling. You should feel your left outside hip (buttock) engage.
Hold this position for 4–5 deep breaths, inhaling through your nose and exhaling through your mouth.
Relax and repeat 4 more times.

Figure 6: Exercise for left AF IR with concomitant left FA IR. (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015. Image used with permission)

ASAF MANAGEMENT

Side-lying respiratory scissor slides

1. Lie on your right side with your hips and knees bent at a 90° angle, and place a ball between your knees.

2. Press your right foot slightly into the wall.

3. Inhale through your nose and gently slide your left leg back without letting your trunk rotate back.

4. Exhale through your mouth as you gently push your left knee down into the ball.

5. Inhale again and slide your left leg back further.

6. Exhale and squeeze into the ball again.

7. Repeat this sequence until you have taken a total of 4–5 breaths, in through your nose and out through your mouth. 8. Relax and repeat 4 more times.





Figure 5: Exercise for left AF IR with concomitant left FA IR. (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015. Image used with permission)

ANTEROMEDIAL FEMORAL-<u>ACETABULAR</u> <u>IMPINGEMENT (AMFA) "PINCER"</u>

Most often on the <u>right side</u> when the patient attempts to IR the femur in the seated position or with posterior translation of the femoral head in flexion



AMFA "PINCER"

- Due to the extended, adducted, and internally rotated right hemipelvis there is accompanying flexion abduction and external ration weakness.
- This occurs as a result of he passively internal orientation of the femur secondary to the acetabular position.
- Therefore there is compensatory and/or lack of external rotators to orientate the femur towards midline due the acetabular position of the right hemi-pelvis
- Thus the right femur will "impinge" on the anterior, superior and medial acetabular rim upon FA ER.

AMFA CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

Posterior capsular instability Weak inferior glute max fiber Lax ischiofemoral ligament Restricted iliofemoral and pubefemoral ligament Short pectineus, adductor magnus and brevis

AMFA "PINCER" TEST RESULTS

Decreased ipsilateral seated FA ER

Increased ipsilateral seated FA IR

Possible posterior hip subluxation (snapping hip)

+ and painful Step Down Test on a 6" step when shifted in ipsilateral AF IR

Decreased ipsilateral passive FA abduction







Increased activity of ipsilateral FA abduction with concomitant contralateral FA adduction





Left side-lying resisted right gluteus maximus exercise

 Lie on your left side with your hips and knees bent at a 60–90° angle.
Place your ankles on top of a 7.5–12.5cm bolster and place your feet firmly on a wall. Place tubing around both thighs slightly above your knees.
Shift your right hip forward until you feel a slight stretch or pull in your left outside hip.

5. Keeping your feet on the wall, raise



your right knee keeping it shifted forward. You should feel your right outside hip (buttock) engage. 6. Hold this position while you take 4-5 deep breaths, in through your nose and out through your mouth. 7. Relax and repeat 4 more times.

Figure 7: Exercise for left AF IR with concomitant right FA ER. (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015; image used with permission.)

Supine hook-lying resisted right gluteus maximus exercise

 Lie on your back and place your feet on a 5cm block against the wall.
Place a band around your knees and a ball between your ankles.
Inhale through your nose and exhale through your mouth, performing a pelvic tilt so that your talibone is raised slightly off the mat.
Keep your back flat on the mat.
Maintaining a pelvic tilt, shift your left knee down below the level of your right. You should feel your left inner thigh engage.
Keeping your left inner thigh engaged and your right foot flat on



the block, turn your right knee out. You should feel your right outside hip (buttock) engage. 6. Hold this position while you take
4-5 breaths, in through your nose and out through your mouth.
7. Relax and repeat 4 more times.

Figure 10: Exercise for left AF IR with concomitant right FA ER. (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015; Image used with permission.)



 Lie on your left side with your right leg straight and your left leg bent at a 60° angle. Your right shoulder, hip, knee and ankle will be lined up.
Place 2-3 pillows under your head so that your head is slightly side-bent to the right.

3. Place your left foot on a 5-7.5cm bolster with your foot pressing into the wall and a small bolster underneath your left side. 4. Slightly raise your left knee off of the floor by turning your thigh 'in' or by pushing your left foot into the wall and using it as a pivot point. You should feel your left inner thigh and left outer hip (buttock) engage. 5. Keep your left knee raised from the floor and turn your right leg in. 6. Attempt to take your right foot off of the wall. You should feel your right outside hip (but-tock) engage. 7. Hold this position while you take 4-5 deep breaths, in through the nose and out through the mouth. 8. Relax and repeat 4 more times.
Retro stairs exercise

1. Stand with your heels placed in front of 15cm stairs and point your toes forward.

 Advance your left foot on the first step keeping your feet neutral or parallel with each other.
Shift your hip back and to the left as you place your weight through your left mid-foot/heel. Your

zipper line should be lined up over your left great toe. Keep your left knee pulled in slightly.

4. Keep your back rounded.

5. Begin lifting your right leg to the step keeping your weight shifted over to the left. You should be using your left leg to advance yourself to the next step by pushing slowly through your left mid-foot/heel.

6. Continue to advance up the stairs until you have completed 1 flight always leading with your left foot. You should feel your left outer hip (buttock) engage.

7. Relax and perform 1-2 more flights (10-12 steps).



Figure 8: Single-leg dynamic stance with left AF IR and concomitant left FA IR. (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015; image used with permission.)

Masek, J. Femoroacetabular impingement: mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment options using Postural Restoration (Part 3) Co-Kinetic Journal 2015; 68 (April) 18-25.

Standing supported left AF IR exercise with resisted right FA abduction

 Place your left foot on a 5–15cm step. Keep your weight through your left mid-foot/heel.
Place a band around both of your ankles.
Place your left foot on the first step and position it slightly behind your right foot.
Shift your left hip back and bend your left knee as you pull your left knee in slightly. You should leel the muscles on your left outer hip (buttock), left inner thigh and the front of your left thigh engage.

Side-bend your trunk to the left, feeling your left abdominals engage.

 6. Maintaining the above position, raise your right foot off the floor and turn your right an-kie out to the side finding contact with your right shoe arch.
7. Slowly squat down by bending your left knee as you bring your right foot out to the side, always keeping your right foot lower than your left.
8. You should feel your left outside hip (buttock), left inner thigh, front of your left thigh and your right outside hip (buttock) engage as you 'dip' your right foot down and out to the side.
9. Hold this position while you take 4–5 deep breaths, in through your nose and out through your mouth.
10. Relax and repeat this sequence 4 more times.

Figure 13: Single-leg dynamic stance exercise for left AF IR with concomitant left FA IR and right FA abduction. (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015; image used with permission.)

Masek, J. Femoroacetabular impingement: mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment options using Postural Restoration (Part 3) Co-Kinetic Journal 2015; 68 (April) 18-25.



Standing supported right gluteus maximus exercise with resisted left proximal hamstring and left knee flexion

 Anchor tubing around a stable structure and place the other end around your left thigh.
Place a 6.5–12.5kg ankle weight around your left ankle.
Place your hands on the surface in front of you and round your back.
Turn your right foot in at a 45° angle. Maintaining contact with your right shoe arch, shift your body weight onto your right leg. Do not lock your right knee straight, rather keep it slightly bent.
Hike your left keg up as if you were

pulling your left foot out of mud. You should feel your left inner thigh and your right outside hip (buttock) engage.

Slightly pull back your left leg without using your back.



 Keeping your left thigh pulled back, slightly bend your left knee. You should feel the back of your left thigh engage.
Hold this position while you take 4–9. Relax and repeat 4 more times.

Figure fl: Exercise for right AF ER with left AF IR (Postural Restoration Institute, 2015; image used with permission.)

Masek, J. Femoroacetabular impingement: mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment options using Postural Restoration (Part 3) Co-Kinetic Journal 2015; 68 (April) 18-25.

PROBLEM





(a) Right hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with right compensatory femoral internal rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation. (b) Right hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with right femur orientated outwardly. Left hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with left femur orientated inwardly. (c) Right hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with right compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated and externally rotated with right compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation.

Figure 3: Left AIC and the effect on the pelvis and femur positioning. (J. Masek, 2015)

Masek J. Femoroacetabular Impingement Mechanisms, Diagnosis and Treatment Options Using Postural Restoration: Part 2. Sport Ex Med. 2015;65:18-25.

PROBLEM

GOAL



Figure 4: (a) Right hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with right compensatory femoral internal rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation. (b) Right hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with right femur orientated outwardly. Left hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with left femur orientated inwardly. (c) Right hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with left femur orientated inwardly. (c) Right hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted with right compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned anteriorly, abducted and externally rotated with right compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation. Left hemi-pelvis positioned posteriorly, adducted, and internally rotated with left compensatory femoral external rotation. (J. Masek, 2014)

Masek, J. Femoroacetabular impingement: mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment options using Postural Restoration (Part1) SportEX Medicine 2014; 64 (April) 10-18.

THINK SIMPLE!

- 1. **RESTORE <u>SAGITTAL</u> PLANE**
- 2. RESTORE FRONTAL PLANE
- 3. RESTORE TRANSVERSE PLANE

SAGITTAL PLANE



 In the sagittal plane, attention must be given to the left hamstring to restore pelvic position in the sagittal plane



Copyright © 2025 Postural Restoration Institute®. Used with permission. www.posturalrestoration.com

FRONTAL PLANE



- In the frontal plane, emphasis is placed on the left adductor and right gluteals
- Left adductor "**pulls**" pelvis to left! Right gluteal "**pushes**" pelvis to left!



Copyright © 2025 Postural Restoration Institute®. Used with permission. www.posturalrestoration.com

TRANSVERSE PLANE





- The right gluteus maximus assists in obtaining acetabular femoral external rotation "AF ER" on the right side
- The left adductor obtains "AF IR" on the left side
- The left gluteus medius and ischiocondylar adductor on the left assist in femoral acetabular internal rotation "FA IR"

Copyright © 2025 Postural Restoration Institute®. Used with permission. www.posturalrestoration.com

TAKE HOME MESSAGE

- The patterns of pathology regarding impingements differ considerably from right to left and require a different pathomechanical explanations and management considerations.
- The goal of treatment in symptomatic patients with FAI is the restoration of the anatomy as close to normal as possible while removing mechanical factors contributing to abutment of the femoral head and/or neck and the acetabular rim.

THANK YOU!! QUESTIONS?

Jason Masek, MA, PT, ATC, CSCS, PRC

Hruska Clinic

Lincoln, NE

masek.jason@hruskaclinic.com



Adelstein, Jeremy & Sanghvi, Parshva & Smith, Kira & Burkhart, Robert & Moyal, Andrew & Fortier, Luc & Maheshwer, Bhargavi & Calcei, Jacob & Voos, James & Salata, Michael. (2025). Increased Utilization of Hip Arthroscopy in the United States from 2015 to 2023 and Projected Growth through 2030. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.

Agricola R, van Buuren MMA, Kemp JL, Weinans H, Runhaar J, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA. Femoroacetabular impingement syndrome in middle-aged individuals is strongly associated with the development of hip osteoarthritis within 10-year follow-up: a prospective cohort study (CHECK). Br J Sports Med. 2024 Sep 9;58(18):1061-1067.

Aiyer R, Noori SA, Chang KV, Jung B, Rasheed A, Bansal N, Ottestad E, Gulati A. Therapeutic Ultrasound for Chronic Pain management in joints: a systematic review. Pain Med. 2020;21(7):1437–48.

Allahabadi S, Hinman AD, Horton BH, Avins AL, Coughlan MJ, Ding DY. Risk Factors for Conversion of Hip Arthroscopy to Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Large Closed-Cohort Study. Arthrosc Sports Med Rehabil. 2020 Oct 15;2(5)

Azboy I, Ceylan HH, Groff H, Vahedi H, Parvizi J. Bilateral Femoroacetabular Impingement: What is the Fate of the Asymptomatic Hip? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2019 May;477(5):983-989.

Bagwell JJ, Fukuda TY, Powers CM. Sagittal plane pelvis motion influences transverse plane motion of the femur: Kinematic coupling at the hip joint. Gait Posture. 2016 Jan;43:120-4.

Bezuglov E, Malyakin G, Sereda A, Izmailov S, Grinchenko A, Chernov G, Kapralova E, Lyubushkina A, Goncharov E. Prevalence of Asymptomatic Hip Joint Abnormalities Among Adult Professional Soccer Players and Their Association With Age and Limb Dominance. Arthrosc Sports Med Rehabil. 2024 Sep 16;7(1) 1-9.

Berthelot JM, Brulefert K, Arnolfo P, Le Goff B, Darrieutort-Laffite C. Update on contribution of hip labral tears to hip pain: A narrative review. Joint Bone Spine. 2023 Jan;90(1)

Brekke AF, Holsgaard-Larsen A, Torfing T, Sonne-Holm S, Overgaard S. Increased anterior pelvic tilt in patients with acetabular retroversion compared to the general population: A radiographic and prevalence study. Radiography (Lond). 2022 May;28(2):400-406.

Briggs K, Philippon M, Ho C, et al PREVALENCE OF ACETABULAR LABRAL TEARS IN ASYMPTOMATIC YOUNG ATHLETES British Journal of Sports Medicine 2017;51:303.

Cheney CW, Ahmadian A, Brennick C, Zheng P, Mattie R, McCormick ZL, Nagpal A. Radiofrequency ablation for Chronic Hip Pain: a Comprehensive, Narrative Review. Pain Med. 2021;22(Suppl 1):S14–9.

Cibulka MT. Sacroiliac joint dysfunction as a reason for the development of acetabular retroversion: a new theory. Physiother Theory Pract. 2014 May; 30(4):249-53.

Cicuttini, F.M., Teichtahl, A.J. and Wang, Y. (2017), Hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement: use escalating beyond the evidence. Medical Journal of Australia, 206: 424-426.

Cvetanovich G, Farkas GJ, Rajan K, Espinoza A, Nho SJ. Improved Squat and Gait Biomechanics 6-Months Post-Arthroscopic Surgery for Femoroacetabular Impingement. Orthop J Sports Med. 2016 Jul 29;4

Dancy ME, Oladipo V, Boadi P, Mercurio A, Alexander AS, Hevesi M, Krych AJ, Okoroha KR. Femoroacetabular Impingement: Critical Analysis Review of Current Nonoperative Treatments. JBJS Rev. 2025 Apr 16;13(4)1-9.

Feingold JD, Heaps B, Turcan S, Swartwout E, Ranawat A. A history of spine surgery predicts a poor outcome after hip arthroscopy. J Hip Preserv Surg. 2019 Jul 20;6(3):227-233.

Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, Leunig M, Nötzli H, Siebenrock KA. Femoroacetabular impingement: a cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2003 Dec;(417):112-20.

Gatz M, Driessen A, Eschweiler J, Tingart M, Migliorini F. Arthroscopic surgery versus physiotherapy for femoroacetabular impingement: a meta-analysis study. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2020 Oct;30(7):1151-1162.

Gómez-Verdejo F, Alvarado-Solorio E, Suarez-Ahedo C. Review of femoroacetabular impingement syndrome. J Hip Preserv Surg. 2024 Oct 15;11(4):315-322.

Gowd AK, Beck EC, Trammell AP, Edge C and Stubbs AJ (2022) Evaluation of additional causes of hip pain in patients with femoroacetabular impingement syndrome. *Front. Surg.* 9 1-8

Griffin DR, Dickenson EJ, O'Donnell J, Agricola R, Awan T, Beck M, Clohisy JC, Dijkstra HP, Falvey E, Gimpel M, Hinman RS, Hölmich P, Kassarjian A, Martin HD, Martin R, Mather RC, Philippon MJ, Reiman MP, Takla A, Thorborg K, Walker S, Weir A, Bennell KL. The Warwick Agreement on femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAI syndrome): an international consensus statement. Br J Sports Med. 2016 Oct;50(19):1169-76.

Hale RF, Melugin HP, Zhou J, LaPrade MD, Bernard C, Leland D, Levy BA, Krych AJ. Incidence of Femoroacetabular Impingement and Surgical Management Trends over Time. Am J Sports Med. 2021;49(1):35–41

Heerey JJ, Souza RB, Link TM, Luitjens J, Gassert F, Kemp JL, Scholes MJ, Crossley KM. Defining hip osteoarthritis feature prevalence, severity, and change using the Scoring of Hip Osteoarthritis with MRI (SHOMRI). Skeletal Radiol. 2024 Aug;53(8):1599-1609.

Hruska R. Impingement and Instability Course Manual. Postural Restoration Institute; 2025.

Islam UL S, Dandachli W, Witt J. Pelvic tilt and acetabular orientation: can the effect be quantified?. Orthop Procs. 2013;95-B(SUPP_1):227-227

Khan M, Habib A, de Sa D, Larson CM, Kelly BT, Bhandari M, Ayeni OR, Bedi A. Arthroscopy Up to Date: Hip Femoroacetabular Impingement. Arthroscopy. 2016 Jan;32(1):177-89

Kuhns BD, Kahana-Rojkind AH, Parsa A, McCarroll TR, Sikligar D, Domb BG. Posterior Pelvic Tilt Allows for Increased Hip Motion, While Anterior Pelvic Tilt Decreases Joint Stress: A Systematic Review of Biomechanical and Motion Analyses. Arthroscopy. 2025 Feb 26.

Kwon HM, Cho BW, Kim S, Yang IH, Park KK, Son NH, Lee WS. Acetabular labral tear is associated with high pelvic incidence with or without femoroacetabular impingement morphology. Knee Surg Sports Traumatology Arthroscopy. 2022 Oct;30(10):3526-3534.

Lee WY, Kang C, Hwang DS, Jeon JH, Zheng L. Descriptive Epidemiology of Symptomatic Femoroacetabular Impingement in Young Athlete: Single Center Study. Hip Pelvis. 2016 Mar;28(1):29-34.

Levy DM, Hellman MD, Harris JD, Haughom B, Frank RM, Nho SJ. Prevalence of Cam Morphology in Females with Femoroacetabular Impingement. Front Surg. 2015 Dec 1;2:61

Lei, Pengfei MD; Conaway, William K. BA; Martin, Scott D. MD. Outcome of Surgical Treatment of Hip Femoroacetabular Impingement Patients with Radiographic Osteoarthritis: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Studies. Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons 27(2):p e70-e76, January 15, 2019

Ludwig O, Schneider G, Kelm J. Improvement of Groin Pain in a Football Player with Femoroacetabular Impingement via a Correction of the Pelvic Position-A Case Report. J Clin Med. 2023 Nov 30;12(23)1-12.

Malahias M-A, Gu A, Richardson SS, De Martino I, Sculco PK, McLawhorn AS. Hip arthroscopy for hip osteoarthritis is associated with increased risk for revision after total hip arthroplasty. HIP International. 2020;31(5):656-662.

Maldonado DR, Diulus SC, Lee MS, Owens JS, Jimenez AE, Perez-Padilla PA, Domb BG. Propensity-Matched Patients Undergoing Revision Hip Arthroscopy Older Than the Age of 40 Years Had Greater Risk of Conversion to Total Hip Arthroplasty Compared With Their Primary Counterparts. Arthroscopy. 2023 Jan;39(1):54-63.

Masek, J. Femoroacetabular impingement: mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment options using Postural Restoration (Part 3) Co-Kinetic Journal 2015; 68 (April) 18-25.

Masek J. Femoroacetabular Impingement Mechanisms, Diagnosis and Treatment Options Using Postural Restoration: Part 2. Sport Ex Med. 2015;65:18-25.

Masek, J. Femoroacetabular impingement: mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment options using Postural Restoration (Part1) SportEX Medicine 2014; 64 (April) 10-18.

McCarthy JC, Noble PC, Schuck MR, Wright J, Lee J. The Otto E. Aufranc Award: The role of labral lesions to development of early degenerative hip disease. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2001 Dec;(393):25-37.

Migliorini F, Pilone M, Lucenti L, Bardazzi T, Pipino G, Vaishya R, Maffulli N. Arthroscopic Management of Femoroacetabular Impingement: Current Concepts. J Clin Med. 2025 Feb 21;14(5): 1-35.

Mintz DN, Hooper T, Connell D, Buly R, Padgett DE, Potter HG. Magnetic resonance imaging of the hip: detection of labral and chondral abnormalities using noncontrast imaging. Arthroscopy. 2005 Apr;21(4):385-93.

Mohammed C, Kong R, Kuruba V, Rai V, Munazzam SW. Outcomes and complications of hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement syndrome: A narrative review. J Clin Orthop Trauma. 2024 Oct 29;58

Morales-Avalos R, Tapia-Náñez A, Simental-Mendía M, Elizondo-Riojas G, Morcos-Sandino M, Tey-Pons M, Peña-Martínez VM, Barrera FJ, Guzman-Lopez S, Elizondo-Omaña RE, Vílchez-Cavazos F. Prevalence of Morphological Variations Associated With Femoroacetabular Impingement According to Age and Sex: A Study of 1878 Asymptomatic Hips in Nonprofessional Athletes. Orthop J Sports Med. 2021 Feb 5;9(2)

Nogier A, Bonin N, May O, Gedouin JE, Bellaiche L, Boyer T, Lequesne M; French Arthroscopy Society. Descriptive epidemiology of mechanical hip pathology in adults under 50 years of age. Prospective series of 292 cases: Clinical and radiological aspects and physiopathological review. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2010 Dec;96(8 Suppl):S53-8.

Palit A, Williams MA, Seemala V, Donnelly M, Renkawitz T, Weber M. Pelvic Tilt Increases the Risk of Impingement and Alters Impingement Type in Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Patient-Specific Simulation Study. J Orthop Res. 2025 Apr 12. 1-12

Pennock AT, Bomar JD, Johnson KP, Randich K, Upasani VV. Nonoperative Management of Femoroacetabular Impingement: a prospective study. Am J Sports Med. 2018;46(14):3415–22.

Peters S, Laing A, Emerson C, Mutchler K, Joyce T, Thorborg K, Hölmich P, Reiman M. Surgical criteria for femoroacetabular impingement syndrome: a scoping review. Br J Sports Med. 2017 Nov;51(22):1605-1610. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096936. Epub 2017 Feb 20. PMID: 28219940.

Ramadanov N, Lettner J, Voss M, Hable R, Prill R, Dimitrov D, Becker R. Conservative treatment versus hip arthroscopy in patients with femoroacetabular impingement : a multilevel meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Bone Jt Open. 2025 Apr 23;6(4):480-498.

Raveendran R, Stiller JL, Alvarez C, Renner JB, Schwartz TA, Arden NK, Jordan JM, Nelson AE. Population-based prevalence of multiple radiographically-defined hip morphologies: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2018 Jan;26(1):54-61.

Reiman MP, Thorborg K, Hölmich P. Femoroacetabular Impingement Surgery Is on the Rise-But What Is the Next Step? J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2016 Jun;46(6):406-8.

Reynolds D, Lucas J, Klaue K. Retroversion of the acetabulum. A cause of hip pain. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1999 Mar;81(2):281-8.

Rhon DI, Greenlee TA, Sissel CD, Reiman MP. The two-year incidence of hip osteoarthritis after arthroscopic hip surgery for femoroacetabular impingement syndrome. BMC Musculoskeletal Disord. 2019 Jun 1;20(1) 1-10

Ross JR, Nepple JJ, Philippon MJ, Kelly BT, Larson CM, Bedi A. Effect of changes in pelvic tilt on range of motion to impingement and radiographic parameters of acetabular morphologic characteristics. Am J Sports Med. 2014 Oct;42(10):2402-9

Salas, Carlos & Sintes, Pau & Joan, Joaquin & Urbano, David & Sospedra, Jordan & Caparros, Toni. (2020). Conservative management of femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) in professional basketball. Apunts Medicine de l'Esport. 55. 5-20.

Seldes RM, Tan V, Hunt J, Katz M, Winiarsky R, Fitzgerald RH Jr. Anatomy, histologic features, and vascularity of the adult acetabular labrum. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2001 Jan;(382):232-40

Singh JA, Yu S, Chen L, Cleveland JD. Rates of Total Joint Replacement in the United States: Future Projections to 2020-2040 Using the National Inpatient Sample. J Rheumatol. 2019 Sep;46(9):1134-1140.

Shichman I, Roof M, Askew N, Nherera L, Rozell JC, Seyler TM, Schwarzkopf R. Projections and Epidemiology of Primary Hip and Knee Arthroplasty in Medicare Patients to 2040-2060. JB JS Open Access. 2023 Feb 28;8(1)1-7.

Suits WH. Clinical Measures of Pelvic Tilt in Physical Therapy. Int J Sports Phys Ther. 2021 Oct 1;16(5):1366-1375

Torabian KA, Cherian NJ, Eberlin CT, Dean MC, Dowley KS, LaPorte ZL, Kucharik MP, Gillinov SM, Martin SD. The Effect of Pelvic Incidence on Outcomes After Hip Arthroscopy for Femoroacetabular Impingement and Acetabular Labral Tears. Am J Sports Med. 2024 Mar;52(3):631-642

Verhaegen JCF, Vorimore C, Galletta C, Rakhra K, Slullitel PA, Beaule PE, Grammatopoulos G. How to Best Identify Acetabular Retroversion on Radiographs: Thresholds to Guide Clinical Practice. Am J Sports Med. 2024 Sep;52(11):2728-2739.

Wassilew GI, Heller MO, Janz V, Perka C, Müller M, Renner L. High prevalence of acetabular retroversion in asymptomatic adults: a 3D CT-based study. Bone Joint J. 2017 Dec;99-B(12):1584-1589.

Wolford ML, Palso K, Bercovitz A. Hospitalization for total hip replacement among inpatients aged 45 and over: United States, 2000-2010. NCHS Data Brief. 2015 Feb;(186):1-8.

Yépez AK, Abreu M, Germani B, Galia CR. Prevalence of femoroacetabular impingement morphology in asymptomatic youth soccer players: magnetic resonance imaging study with clinical correlation. Rev Bras Ortop. 2017 Jun 24;52(Suppl 1):14-20.

Zusmanovich, Mikhail, Haselman William, Serrano, Brian; Banffy, Michael. (2022). The Incidence of Hip Arthroscopy in Patients With Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome and Labral Pathology Increased by 85% Between 2011 and 2018 in the United States Arthroscopy, Volume 38, Issue 1, 82 - 87